RIGINA

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 ROBERT C. CROSS, Deputy Attorney Ceneral 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 3 Sacramento, California 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 445-0422 5 Attorneys for Complainant BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. D-2829 Against: 12 RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG, M.D. STIPULATION AND ORDER 13 648 North Farmersville Farmersville, California 14 Physician's and Surgeon's 15

Certificate No. A-17174, Respondent.

It is hereby stipulated between the parties to the above entitled action as follows:

Т

Richard M. Armstrong, M.D. (hereinafter respondent), stipulates that he has been duly served with an Accusation in the above entitled matter and all accompanying papers required to be served with the Accusation in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code § 11500 et seq.). has filed a Notice of Defense and retained attorney Donald R. Fischbach to represent him in this matter.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Respondent is aware of his right to a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. Respondent hereby waives his right to such a hearing and agrees that the matter may be submitted to the Division of Medical Quality for decision based upon this Stipulation.

III

Respondent admits to the truth of the following:

- A. Robert Rowland, Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality, filed the Accusation in his official capacity.
- B. That on September 24, 1956, respondent was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-17174, which has remained in good standing.
- C. For the purposes of this proceeding only, and without stipulating specifically as to any of the patients alleged in the Accusation, respondent admits that with respect to numerous patients he has been guilty of gross negligence, incompetence, repeated similar negligent acts, and clearly excessive prescription with respect to his prescribing practices for the drug Didrex and that said conduct constitutes cause for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 2234 and 725.

IV

2.

This entire document, including all admissions and stipulations, shall be of no force and effect unless and until adopted as decision of the Division of Medical Quality. In the event this Stipulation is rejected by the Division of Medical

1 Quality, the entire document shall be null and void, and not be 2 used for any legal purpose.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Based upon the foregoing, the Division of Medical Quality may issue the following Order:

* * * * ORDER * * * *

Certificate No. A-17174 issued to respondent is However, said revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years upon the following terms and conditions.

- 1. As a part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for ninety (90) days beginning the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall receive credit for all time not practicing after June 15, 1982.
- Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order, or possess any controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, or the Federal Schedule, except for those drugs listed in Schedules IV and V of the State Act.
- Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances prescribed, dispensed, or administered by respondent during probation showing all of the following:
 - The name and address of the patient,
 - b. The date,
 - The character and quantity of controlled substances involved, and

 d. The pathology and purpose for which the controlled substance was furnished.

Respondent shall make such records available for inspection and copying by the Division or designee, upon request.

- 4. Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval an education program or course related to medical therapeutics, which shall not be less than forty (40) hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the continuing medical education requirements for relicensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course.
- 5. Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination to be administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails this examination, respondent must wait three (3) months between reexaminations, except that after three (3) failures, respondent must wait one year to take each necessary reexamination thereafter. The Division shall pay the costs of the first examination, and respondent shall pay the costs of any subsequent examinations. If respondent fails to take and pass this examination by the end of the first year or probation, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until this examination has been successfully passed and respondent has been so notified by the Division in writing.

or its designee, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation by a Division-appointed psychiatrist who shall furnish a psychiatric report to the Division or its designee. If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo psychiatric treatment, respondent shall within thirty (30) days of the requirement notice submit to the Division for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychiatrist of respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating psychiatrist, respondent shall undergo and continue psychiatric treatment until further notice from the Division. Respondent shall have the treating psychiatrist submit quarterly status reports to the Division.

7. Within thirty (30) days of demand by the Division or its designee, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a medical evaluation by a Division-appointed physician who shall furnish a medical report to the Division or its designee. If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo medical treatment, respondent shall within thirty (30) days of the requirement notice submit to the Division for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a physician of respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating physician, respondent shall undergo and continue medical treatment until further notice from the Division. Respondent shall have the treating physician submit quarterly reports to the Division.

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

During probation, respondent is prohibited from treating obesity through the use of drugs.

- Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of alcoholic beverages.
- Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations 11. under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's proba-12. tion surveillance program.
- Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- In the event respondent should leave California to 14. reside or to practice outside the State, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- If respondent violates probation in any respect, 16. the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke

1	probation is filed against respondent during probation, the
2	Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter
3	is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until
4	the matter is final.
5	DATED:
6	
7	RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG, M.D., Respondent
8	RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG, M.D., Respondent
9	DATED:
10	
11	remede tullach
12	DONALD R. FISCHBACH Attorney for Respondent
13	DATED:
14	
15	lated Cen
16	ROBERT C. CROSS Deputy Attorney General
17	Attorney for Complainant
18	The foregoing is hereby adopted as the decision of the
19	Division of Medical Quality.
20	DATED: July 15, 1982.
21	EFFECTIVE: August 13 , 1982.
22	Mul Jedeans
23	MILLER MEDEARIS DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
24	BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
25	
26	

"GEORGE" DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General 1 of the State of California ROBERT C. CROSS, Deputy Attorney General 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 445-0422 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 D-2829 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. 12 Against: ACCUSATION 13 RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG, M.D. 648 North Farmersville 14 Farmersville, CA 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-17174, 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant Robert Rowland alleges: 19 1 20 Complainant is the Executive Director of the Board 21 of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California and 22 makes this accusation solely in such official capacity. 23 ΙI 24 On September 24, 1956, respondent Richard M. Armstrong, 25 M.D. was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-26 17174. Said certificate remains in good standing. 27

Business and Professions Code* section 2234 provides that the Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct.

Said section defines unprofessional conduct to include the following:

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated similar negligent acts.
- "(d) Incompetence."

IV

Section 2242 provides that it is unprofessional conduct to prescribe dangerous drugs as defined in section 4221 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor.

V

Section 725 provides that repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment as determined by the standard of the local community of licensees is unprofessional conduct.

VI

Respondent Richard M. Armstrong, M.D., is guilty of unprofessional conduct with respect to his prescribing practices for numerous patients. The specifics are as follows.

^{*}All future references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise specified.

1 2	PATIENT	DRUGS PRESCRIBED	PERIOD OF TIME PRESCRIBED	APPROXIMATE AMOUNT PRESCRIBED	SECTIONS CHARGED
3 4	1. Dave A.	Didrex 50 mg.	11/75-6/80	5,000	725, 2242, 2234(b), (c) and (d)
5	2. Monica A.	Didrex 50 mg.	11/78-12/80	1,400	Same
6	3. Shirley B.	Didrex 50 mg.	8/75-6/80	4,800	Same
7	4. Gloria A.	Didrex 50 mg.	3/77-6/80	2,300	Same
8	5. Rachel B.	Didrex 50 mg.	10/69-9/70; 9/75-7/80	5,600	Same
9	6. Bethine B. (Diagnoses o	Didrex 50 mg. of hyper-tension	9/78-6/80 n)	2,600	Same
11		APC w/ codeine Promethazine w, Butisol 100 mg Ser-Ap-Es	1/2 gr. /codeine	2,480 600 cc 2,200 1,000	.
13	7. Jimmie B.	Didrex 50 mg. APC w/codeine Butisol 100 mg Pheno Barbital	10/78-6/80	7,600 2,000 2,200	Same
15		100 mg. Promethazine	12/78 (1 presc	ription)	
16 17		w/codeine	11/73-12/78 prescriptions) 12/78-2/80	240 cc 230	
18	8. Susan B.	Didrex 50 mg.	1/74-4/79	4,100	Same
19	9. Jack B.	Didrex 50 mg.	8/75-7/80	3,600	Same
20	10. Zoda B.	Didrex 50 mg.	1/76-7/80	3,100	Same
21	11. Ann B.	Didrex 50 mg.	9/75-2/81	3,200	Same
22	12. Sharon B.	Didrex 50 mg.	8/75-6/80	5,200	Same
23	13. Fern B.	Didrex 50 mg.	10/76-6/80	3,700	Same
24	14. Toni C.	Didrex 50 mg.	6/76-6/80	3,800	Same
25	15. Marie C.	Didrex 50 mg.	9/76; 6/77-6/8	3,000	Same
26	16. Stan C.	Didrex 50 mg.	3/77-6/80	2,800	Same
27	17. Shirley C.	Didrex 50 mg.	7/74-6/80	6,100	Same

1	PATIENT	DRUGS PRESCRIBED	TIME	APPROXIMATE AMOUNT PRESCRIBED	SECTIONS CHARGED
3	18. Lonnie C.	Didrex 50 mg.	10/75-6/80	3,600	Same
4	19. Marilyn C.	Didrex 50 mg.	11/75-6/80	4,300	Same
5	20. Valerie D.	Didrex 50 mg.	4/76-6/80	3,500	Same
6	21. Hazel D.	Didrex 50 mg. Butibarbitol 30	10/73-6/80) mg.	4,000 Quantity unkn	Same own
7 8	22. Renee D.	APC or Emperin	w/codeine 7/77-6/80	772 800	Same
9		Valium Didrex 50 mg. Promethazine w	2/80-6/80 /codeine	500 300 cc	
10	23. Larry D.	Didrex 50 mg.	6/73-5/80	3,800	Same
11	24. Debbie D.	Didrex 50 mg.	3/74-5/80	4,100	Same
12	25. Butch D.	Didrex 50 mg.	8/75-6/80	5,200	Same
13 14	26. Pat D.	Didrex 50 mg.	1/76-6/76; 12/76-7/80	4,300	Same
15	27. Beverly D.	Didrex 50 mg.	6/75-6/80	5,300	Same
16	Di di	Didrex 50 mg.	9/78-6/80	2,400	Same
17	\\	Didrex 50 mg. Triavil	3/79-6/80	2,000 1,300	Same
18		Dalmane	1/9/80 (1 prescription of /80	on) 12 200	
19		Flavil 25 mg.	5/80-6/80		
20		Butibarbitol 30 mg.	7/78-12/78	1,080	Same
21	-	APC or Emperi	10/78-7/80 4/25/80	1,140	
22		Didrex 50 mg.	(1 prescripti	on) 100	
23	31. Dean H.	Didrex 50 mg.	10/76-6/80	5,400	Same
24	32. Julie O.	Didrex 50 mg.	9/76-7/80	4,500	Same
2	33. Don R.	Serax	8/78-6/80	4,500	Same
2	6	Propoxyphene (Darvon) 65 m	ıg.	8,722	
2	7				

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV. B.72)

			•			
1			DDUCC	PERIOD OF TIME	APPROXIMATE AMOUNT	SECTIONS
2		PATIENT	DRUGS PRESCRIBED	PRESCRIBED	PRESCRIBED	CHARGED
3	34.	Betty U.	Didrex 50 mg.	8/77-5/80	2,800	Same
4	35.	John Mc.	Didrex 50 mg.	1/79-6/79	300	Same
5						
6	VII					
7	Respondent Richard M. Armstrong, M.D. is guilty of					
8	rep	eated simila	ar negligent ac	ts with respect	to the above	

patients. In each of the cases respondent's medical records 10 contained an inadequate amount of information, inadequate 11 physical examinations, and inadequate justifications for 12 prescribing the relevant medications. Such conduct on the 13 part of respondent is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234(c).

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein and that following such hearing, a decision be issued revoking respondent's license to practice medicine or taking such other and further relief as is deemed proper.

DATED: November 13, 1981

Executive Director

Division of Medical Quality

Board of Medical Quality Assurance Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

27

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25